

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office. Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,046	09/28/2001	Karl Mautz	\$C0194WD	4300	
7:	590 04/17/2002				
Jim Clingan Motorola, Inc. Austin Intellectual Property Law Section			EXAMINER		
			BLUM, DAVID S		
7700 West Parmer Lane Austin, TX 78729			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2813	2813	
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5.1	Application No.	pplicant(s)				
	09/966,046	MAUTZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	David S Blum	2813				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  S Patent and Trademark Office.	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/966,046 Page 2

Art Unit: 2813

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-8, drawn to a semiconductive wafer, classified in class 148, subclass 33.
  - II. Claims 9-15, drawn to a method of making a semiconductive wafer, classified in class 438, subclass 3.
  - III. Claims 16-24, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 365, subclass 97.
  - IV. Claims 25-30, drawn to a method of using the apparatus, classified in class 365, subclass 171.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the magnetic region could be formed by solid source rather than implanting, sputtering, or magnetic film.
- 3. Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

Application/Control Number: 09/966,046

Art Unit: 2813

6.5

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the magnetic sensor could read information on other objects, such as a magnetic film on a shipping parcel, rather than on a semiconductive wafer.

Page 3

- 4. Inventions IV and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus (identification system) could be used to read identification information on devices other than wafers.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, or the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Jim Clingan on 4/9/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,046

Art Unit: 2813

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

Page 4

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David S. Blum whose telephone number is (703)-306-

9168 and e-mail address is David.blum@USPTO.gov .

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached at (703)-306-2794. Our facsimile number is

(703)- 305-0142 and our receptionist's number is (703)-308-0956.

David S. Blum

April 9, 2002